

***Risposta della Federazione alla Consultazione
della Commissione Europea sull'European Single
Access Point (ESAP)***

12 Marzo 2021

La Federazione delle Banche, delle Assicurazioni e della Finanza (FeBAF) nasce nel 2008 dall'Associazione Bancaria Italiana (ABI) e dall'Associazione Italiana fra le Imprese Assicuratrici (ANIA). Alla Federazione, "Casa Comune del Risparmio e della Finanza", aderiscono ad oggi 13 associazioni: ABI, ANIA, AIFI, nonché ADEPP, AIPB, ANFIR, ASSOFIDUCIARIA, ASSOFIN, ASSOGESTIONI, ASSOIMMOBILIARE, ASSOPREVIDENZA, ASSORETI, ASSOSIM.

La Federazione, che ha sede a Roma e Bruxelles:

- è aperta alla collaborazione con altre Associazioni imprenditoriali;
- promuove il ruolo dell'industria bancaria, assicurativa e finanziaria in sintonia con gli interessi generali del Paese;
- rappresenta nei confronti di Autorità politiche e monetarie, delle Associazioni di categoria e dell'opinione pubblica le posizioni delle Associazioni aderenti in tema di politiche economiche e sociali;
- tutela la logica di impresa e si adopera per la diffusione della concorrenza, puntando sulla valorizzazione dell'attività bancaria, assicurativa e finanziaria in termini di trasparenza, al servizio dei consumatori e dei risparmiatori.

Per conoscerci meglio: www.febaf.it e [@febaf](https://www.instagram.com/febaf). Seguici anche sulle newsletter [Lettera f](#) e [Spotlight](#).

General questions

In this first section of the consultation, the Commission seeks to get stakeholders' views on some general questions regarding the features of the European single access point (ESAP). The Commission seeks views on which information stakeholders generally search for, where they search for it, in which format(s) and the barriers stakeholders might encounter. This will also help the Commission to prioritise which aspects should be considered immediately when developing ESAP, and which could be implemented at a later stage.

Question 1. Please rate the following characteristics of ESAP based on how relevant they are according to you:

| | 1 (fully disagree) | 2 (somewhat disagree) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (somewhat agree) | 5 (fully agree) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| The information quality (accuracy and completeness) is most important | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| The widest possible scope of the information is most important | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| The timeliness of the information is most important | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| The source of the information is a key element to know | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| The immutability of the information is a key element | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| ESAP should include information made public on a voluntary basis by non-listed companies of any size, including SMEs | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| ESAP should include information made public on a voluntary basis by financial market actors | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Other aspects | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Question 1.1 Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The Italian Banking Insurance and Finance Federation (FeBAF) supports the action of the European Commission's CMU Action Plan aimed at establishing a European Single Access Point (ESAP) to provide financial and non-financial information and to facilitate access to capital markets for EU companies (listed and unlisted). In general, it is important to favour the information quality (accuracy and completeness) but it is essential in particular for ESG data. However, the completeness of the information should not mean further disclosure requirements that are already included in existing EU legislations. We appreciate the inclusion of information on a voluntary basis from non-listed companies. However, this should be assessed carefully, as such entities might face considerable difficulties in providing the required financial and non-financial information. The scope of the information covered by ESAP must be proportionate and fit-for-purpose, focusing on information which really serves the ultimate goal pursued by the EC (increase the visibility of companies for investors and enhance sustainability-related disclosures). We support a "step-by-step" approach to implementing ESAP, in a way that allows gradual expansion to cover different types of entity and information. Concerning the timeliness and frequency of the publication of information on the platform, proportionality and graduality must be taken into account and no additional and excessive burdens in terms of reporting and compliance (especially for SMEs) should be generated. Furthermore, FeBAF considers important to avoid redundancy and duplication and therefore it is necessary to achieve an alignment in terms not only of content but also in terms of timing with regards to the coming challenges, such as revised NFRD, SFDR and EU taxonomy. In terms of governance, we believe that EU authorities, such as the European Commission or ESAs, should avoid duplication of reporting and related charges for the parties required to publish the information. ESAP could bring significant benefits by facilitating investments in European unlisted and listed companies both large and small, as it would enable investors to make informed investment choices, based on the combination of financial and non financial information. In terms of costs, ESAP should be financed by using solely EU funds and should not be an additional burden to EU companies, particularly SMEs.

Question 2. Which channels do you use when searching for, retrieving or using companies' public information?

Please select as many answers as you like

- Company's website
- Data aggregation service providers
- Stock Exchanges
- Public repositories or databases (OAMs, NCAs, ESAs)
- Other

Question 3. Would you say that the cost for retrieving and using companies' public information is:

- Immaterial
- Average

- High
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 3.1 Please provide more information on your answer to question 3:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Since the cost for retrieving companies's public information is currently high, the creation of ESAP could make it lower. However, we need to carefully balance the potential additional compliance costs for companies, especially for SMEs.

Question 4. In which electronic format is companies' public information provided by these channels?

Please select as many answers as you like

- XBRL
- PDF
- XML
- HTML
- CSV, TXT
- Excel
- Formats enabling natural language processing
- Other
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 5. Do you encounter barriers or difficulties when accessing the information?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 5.1 Please describe the barriers or difficulties you encountered when accessing the information:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

While there are no great problems in accessing the financial information that companies disclose publicly (listed companies or regulated entities), the situation is more complicated for non-financial information, which is not widely available.

Question 6. Do you encounter barriers or difficulties when using the information?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 6.1 Please describe the barriers or difficulties you encountered when using the information:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The scope of ESAP

Question 7. Should ESAP include information from the hereunder provided list of EU legislations in the financial area?

And if so, please specify whether the ESAP should embed this information immediately (as soon as the ESAP starts) or at a later stage (phasing in).

1) The Transparency Directive (2004/109/EC) (e.g. annual/half yearly financial reports, acquisition or disposal of major holdings)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 1):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

2) The Accounting Directive (2013/34/EU) (e.g. financial statements, management report, audit report)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

3) The Audit Directive (2014/56/EU) and Audit Regulation (537/2014/EU) (e.g. auditor transparency reports)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

4) The Non-Financial Reporting Directive (NFRD) (2014/95/EU) (e.g. non-financial statement)

- Fully disagree

- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 4):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

NFRD (taxonomy alignment, emissions, and all data necessary to the data /information required by the existing and forthcoming NFRD in view of the indicators required by the SFDR) should be the priority as these information are at the basis of the information chain necessary to the other regulations to promote sustainable transitions.

5) The Prospectus Regulation (2017/1129/EU) (e.g. Prospectus, Universal Registration Document, SME Growth Markets-information)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 5):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

6) The Shareholders Rights Directive (2007/36/EC) and (2017/828/EU) (e.g. Remuneration Report)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 6):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

7) The Market Abuse Regulation (596/2014/EU) and Market Abuse Directive (2014/57/EU) (e.g. inside information)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

8) The Resolution and Recovery of Credit institutions and Investment firms Directive (BRRD) (2014/59/EU) (e.g. information on the group financial support agreement)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 8):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Information concerning banks should be limited to those already public (i.e. BRRD, CRD/CRR), eventually at a later stage taking into account that such information appears to be less relevant than the objectives mentioned above.

9) The Covered Bonds Directive (2019/2162) (e.g. information on the cover pool)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree

- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

10) The Capital Requirements Directive (CRD) (2013/36/EU) and Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR) (575/2013/EU) (e.g. prudential information, stress test results)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 10):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

See answer to question 7.8

11) The Credit Ratings Regulation (1060/2009/EU) (e.g. transparency report)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree

- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

12) The Central Securities Depositories Regulation (909/2014/EU) (e.g. governance arrangements)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

13) The Key Information Documents for Packaged Retail and Insurance-based Investment Products (PRIIPs) Regulation (1286/2014/EU) (e.g. key information document)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 13):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

14) The Regulation on European Long-term Investment Funds (ELTIF) (2015/760/EU) (e.g. fund-related information)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

15) The European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR) (648/2012/EU) (e.g. prices and fees of services provided, risk management model)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

16) The Financial Conglomerates Directive (FICOD) (2011/89/EU) (e.g. corporate structure of the conglomerate)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

17) The Directive of Prudential Supervision of Investment Firms (IFD) (2019/2034/EU) and the Regulation of Prudential Requirements of Investment Firms (IFR) (2019/2033/EU) (e.g. aggregated information on high-earners, remuneration arrangements)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree

- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

18) The Directive on the Activities and Supervision of Institutions for Occupational Retirement Provision (IORP) (2016/2341/EU) (e.g. remuneration policy)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

19) The Pan-European Personal Pension Products Regulation (PEPP) (2019/1238/EU) (e.g. key information document)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 19):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

20) The Regulation on Wholesale Energy Market Integrity and Transparency (REMIT) (1348/2014/EU) (e.g. inside information)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree

- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

**21) The Securities Financing Transactions Regulation (SFTR) (2015/2365/EU)
(e.g. aggregate positions)**

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

22) The Solvency II Directive (2009/138/EC) (e.g. solvency and financial condition report)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 22):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

23) The Short Selling Regulation (236/2012/EU) (e.g. net short position)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree

- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

24) The Take-Over Bid Directive (2004/25/EC) (e.g. Information in the management report on companies' capital and shareholders, voting rights, governance...)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

25) The Directive of Markets in Financial Instruments (MIFID) (2014/65/EU) and Regulation of Markets in Financial Instruments (MIFIR) (600/2014/EU) (e.g. volume and price of certain transactions)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 25):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

26) The Regulation on European Venture Capital Funds (EuVECA) (345/2013 /EU) (e.g. fund-related information)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree

- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

27) The Regulation on European social entrepreneurship funds (EuSEF) (346/2013/EU) (e.g. fund-related information)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

28) The Regulation on Money Market Funds (2017/1131/EU) (e.g. prospectus)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

29) The Directive on the coordination of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities (UCITS) (2009/65/EC) (e.g. key investor information)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

30) The Directive on Alternative Investment Fund Managers (AIFM) (2011/61/EU) (e.g. investment strategy and objectives of the fund)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree

- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

31) The Regulation on EU Climate Transition Benchmarks, EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks and sustainability-related disclosures for benchmarks (EU 2019 /2089) (e.g. information on measurable carbon emission reduction)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 31)

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

32) Information on sustainability risks and impacts disclosed pursuant to the Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 on sustainability-related disclosure and The Taxonomy Regulation (2020/852/EU) (e.g. sustainability risks integration policies)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree

- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 32)

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

33) The EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 33)

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

34) Other

- Yes
- No

The usability and accessibility

Investors and users find publicly disclosed financial and sustainability-related information difficult to compare and analyse. This is mainly due to the lack of structured data, of common frameworks and/or interoperable formats for such disclosures, the use of different identifiers for the same entity and the lack of harmonised implementation of reporting obligations at national level. This section of the questionnaire seeks stakeholders' views on format(s) in which the information in ESAP should be made available, in order to make it more usable digitally, and how stakeholders would prefer to have access to and retrieve this information from ESAP.

Question 8. In order to improve the digital use and searchability of the information, for which of the hereunder information would you support the use of structured data formats, such as ESEF (XHTML and iXBRL), XML, etc., allowing for machine readability?

Please select as many answers as you like

- Listed companies' half yearly financial reports
- Financial statements
- Management report
- Payments to governments
- Audit report
- Total number of voting rights and capital
- Acquisition or disposal of issuer's own shares
- Home Member State
- Acquisition or disposal of major holdings

- Inside information
- Prospectuses
- Net short position details
- Fund-related information
- Key Information Document
- Public disclosure resulting from prudential requirements
- Remuneration policies
- Corporate structure of the conglomerate
- Governance arrangements
- Covered bonds - related information
- Solvency and financial condition report
- Sustainability - related information
- Other

Question 9. Which of the following machine-readable formats would you find suitable?

| | 1 (not at all suitable) | 2 (rather not suitable) | 3 (neutral) | 4 (somewhat suitable) | 5 (highly suitable) | Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--|
| ESEF (XHTML files + inline XBRL tagging requirements) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| XML files | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| CSV files | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Excel | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Formats enabling natural language processing | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Other | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

Question 9.1 Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and evidence to support your answers:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

It is too early to provide an answer on the data formats. The Italian Banking Insurance and Finance Federation considers important the creation of ESAP based on existing formats, while leaving some margins of flexibility for the reporting company.

Question 10. How should the information be accessible in ESAP?

Please select as many answers as you like

- Through Application Programming Interfaces (APIs)
- Bulk download
- Web portals
- Other
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 11. To what extent should the language barrier be tackled?

For the following features of the ESAP (web portal, metadata, taxonomy/labels, and content/data), which of the following language arrangements would you favour?

a) Portals / search tools:

- in a language that is customary in the sphere of international finance
- in multiple or all EU languages
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

b) Metadata (where variable text):

- in original language
- in a language that is customary in the sphere of international finance
- in multiple or all EU languages
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

c) Taxonomy / labels (if any):

- in original language
- in a language that is customary in the sphere of international finance
- in multiple or all EU languages

- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

d) Content / data:

- in original language
- in a language that is customary in the sphere of international finance
- in multiple or all EU languages
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Infrastructure and data governance (collection of data + validation of data)

The Commission seeks stakeholders' views on the preferred technical solution(s) to establish the architecture of ESAP, and how to ensure the quality and integrity of the information within ESAP. A body in charge of ESAP, which should be non-for-profit, would be responsible for coordinating IT systems, maintenance and budgetary aspects.

Question 12. Should specific categories of stakeholders be involved in the governance of ESAP?

Please select as many answers as you like

- EU authority (ESMA, European Commission etc.) or a consortium of EU authorities?
- National competent authorities
- Investors
- Reporting companies
- Other

Please specify which EU authority should be involved in the governance of ESAP:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

EU authorities, such as the Commission or ESAs, should dialogue with national authorities (NCAs), in order to guarantee the harmonization of legislations and avoid duplication of reporting and related charges for the parties required to publish the information.

Question 13. Considering the point in time at which a company makes public some information that is legally required, what would be the ideal timing for the information to be available on the ESAP?

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

An alignment between the timing of disclosure obligations and the timing of data availability through ESAP is necessary. The information is to be available in time for preparers to fulfil their reporting requirements.

Question 14. Should the integrity of the information and the credibility of the source of data used be ensured, when it is made accessible in ESAP?

- By electronic seals or electronic signature embedded at data emitter level
- By the ESAP platform
- By other means / trust services
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 15. Should the information in ESAP be subject to quality checks?

- Yes
- No
- Other
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 16. Should a quality check be needed, what would need to be checked?

Please select as many answers as you like

- Compliance with IT formats
- Certain key tests (matching figures, units, ...)
- Use of a correct taxonomy
- Completeness
- Availability of metadata
- Other
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Targeted questions regarding entities with no access to capital markets (non-listed entities), including SMEs

The lack of an integrated data management at the EU level is detrimental to entities with no access to capital markets notably to SMEs that struggle to find investors beyond national borders. Companies of all sizes – and in particular SMEs – need solid market-based funding sources. This was already the case before COVID-19, but will be even more important for the recovery if bank lending might not be sufficient. Therefore, this section of the consultation sets out questions on how ESAP specifically can help ensure that SMEs receive the funding they need.

SMEs, often do not have the technical expertise nor resources necessary to prepare reports in accordance with state-of-the-art, sophisticated standards. At the same time, many SMEs are under increasing pressure to provide financial information as well as certain sustainability related information in order to access market-based funding and for their usual conduct of business. In this respect, entities which cannot provide this information may experience a negative impact on their commercial and/or investment opportunities.

Question 17. Should it be possible for companies other than those with securities listed on EU regulated markets to disclose information on ESAP on a voluntary basis?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 17.1 If you replied yes to question 17, please specify which type of entities should be allowed to disclose data on a voluntary basis in the ESAP:

Please select as many answers as you like

- Companies with securities listed on a SME growth-market
- Companies with securities listed on other non-regulated markets
- Pre-IPO companies not yet listed on an exchange
- Any unlisted companies
- Other entities

Question 18. What type of information should be disclosed on a voluntary basis in the ESAP?

Please select as many answers as you like

- A set of predefined key financial information, allowing to compare data
- Any financial information that the issuer would be willing to render public via ESAP
-

A set of predefined key sustainable related information, allowing to compare the data

- Any sustainability related information that the issuer would be willing to render public via ESAP
- Other

Question 19. As regards frequency of the submission of the voluntary information to ESAP, when should it occur?

- Following predefined periodic submission dates
- On an ongoing basis as soon as available
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 20. In which language should entities with no access to capital markets be able to encode the voluntary information?

- National language
- A language that is customary in the sphere of international finance
- Any language
- Other

Please explain what you mean by 'other' in your answer to question 20:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

A language that is customary in the sphere of international finance except for SMEs that can keep their original language, or with automatic translation.

Question 21. Should filings done on a voluntary basis by SMEs and non-listed companies follow all the rules of the ESAP as regards for instance identification, data structuring and formats, quality checks, etc.?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your answer to question 21:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

In accordance with the proportionality and graduality principles, we highly recommend a "transitional period /trial period" with a less stringent regime to incentivize SMEs, particularly unlisted ones, to join ESAP on a voluntary basis. In a later stage, however, a defined structure and format is needed to maximise the quality of the instrument.

Costs and benefits

The Commission anticipates that ESAP will lead to multiple benefits. It can, however, also, imply additional costs for

- i. preparers, in terms of compliance requirements on machine-readability, standards, as well as training of staff, etc.
- ii. users, in terms of search, collection and processing of the information they need
- iii. the development of the ESAP architecture. In some areas ESAP should also lead to cost savings, notably related to fil

Question 22. Do you expect that costs of introducing ESAP be proportionate to its overall benefits?

- Not at all
- To some extent
- To a reasonable extent
- To a very great extent
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 23. As a user, can you give an estimation of your yearly cost for retrieving and using companies' public information?

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Question 24. As a user, how large share of these costs do you expect to save through the use of ESAP?

-

- 10%
- 20%
- 30%
- 40%
- More than 50%
- Other
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 25. Should the user have access for free to all data in the ESAP (based e.g. on an open data policy approach)?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 26. Assuming that development and maintenance costs will arise, how do you think the ESAP should be funded?

Please select as many answers as you like

- By EU funds
- By national funds
- By users (i.e. usage fees)
- By preparers (i.e. uploading fee)
- Other

Question 27. What would be the main benefits for entities with no access to capital markets to disclose this information publicly in ESAP?

Please select as many answers as you like

- Get more visibility and attract a broader range of investors
- Get more transparency on ESG data (easily retrievable)
- Other
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify what else would be the main benefits for entities with no access to capital markets to disclose this information publicly in ESAP:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

ESAP could bring significant benefits by facilitating investments in European unlisted and listed companies both large and small. It would enable investors to make informed investment choices, based on the combination of financial and non financial information.

Additional information

Should you wish to provide additional information (e.g. a position paper, report) or raise specific points not covered by the questionnaire, you can upload your additional document(s) below. **Please make sure you do not include any personal data in the file you upload if you want to remain anonymous.**

The maximum file size is 1 MB.

You can upload several files.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Useful links

[More on this consultation \(https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2021-european-single-access-point_en\)](https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2021-european-single-access-point_en)

[Consultation document \(https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2021-european-single-access-point-consultation-document_en\)](https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2021-european-single-access-point-consultation-document_en)

[Consultation strategy \(https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2021-european-single-access-point-consultation-strategy_en\)](https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2021-european-single-access-point-consultation-strategy_en)

[More on capital markets union \(https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/growth-and-investment/capital-markets-union_en\)](https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/growth-and-investment/capital-markets-union_en)

[Specific privacy statement \(https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2021-european-single-access-point-specific-privacy-statement_en\)](https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2021-european-single-access-point-specific-privacy-statement_en)

[More on the Transparency register \(http://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister/public/homePage.do?locale=en\)](http://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister/public/homePage.do?locale=en)

Contact

fisma-esap-project@ec.europa.eu